THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE

C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.20887 of 1996

Dated:24.1.2007

Between
A.Thirupathi

.....Petitioner

and

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh
rep., by the Secretary, Ministry of Education,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE

C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.20887 of 1996

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the advertisement No.2/96 and 3/96 dated 25.3.1996 issued by the 4th respondent as arbitrary and illegal and violative of Articles 14, 16,19 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondents to fill the backlog vacancies in accordance with the directions issued by this Court in W.P.10953 of 1994 and 13702 of 1994 by following the roster without giving retrospective effect to G.O.Ms.No.456 dated 21.12.1995 issued by the Education Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh as per the notification dated

28.1.1994.

The grievance of the petitioner seems to be that the respondents issued the impugned notification dated 25.3.1996 without following the roster in filling up the backlog vacancies.

While admitting the writ petition, this Court refused to grant any stay and dismissed the WPMP.

A detailed counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the 4th respondent denying the allegations made by the petitioner. It is stated that the rule of reservation and roaster was strictly adhered to. Whenever there is advertisement for recruitment in the University, roaster points are verified by the Social Welfare Department and after the roster points are approved, the notification is issued. Before the notifications are issued, the roster points are also verified by the Executive

Council of the University to ensure that there is no mistake committed in following the roster points in its true perspective. The present notification was issued after it was duly verified and approved by the Social Welfare Department as well as council of the University. The recruitment process was completed in terms of the impugned notification and the selected candidates are holding higher positions as on date.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in this writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

24.01.2007

rkk